

STEPHANIE A GONYE,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT  
OF MOTOR VEHICLES, et al  
Defendants

Case No. 21-cv-05223-BLF

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER  
WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO FILING  
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION**

On July 7, 2021, pro se plaintiff Stephanie Gonye filed a complaint and an ex-parte motion for injunction, which this Court construes as a motion for a temporary restraining order. *See* Compl., ECF 1; Mot. ECF 4. Ms. Gonye alleges that her friend, “an international spy and a trained artificial intelligence developer, computer hacker and red hat team player, which means that for various governments she teaches people how to access protected computer systems...for personal profit,” caused Defendant NASA to take her car, a Toyota Prius, into custody on June 19, 2021, for missing registration tags. Compl. 6. Ms. Gonye also alleges that this same unnamed friend won’t let Defendant California Department of Motor Vehicles issue her a “true, accurate and compliant car registration car registration renewal without a fake Toyota software update of [her friend’s] creation that is clearly an attempted theft of [Ms. Gonye’s] car’s computer cachement [sic] and likely an attempt to transfer technology between the US federal government and a Japanese corporation.” *Id.*

Ms. Gonye requests this Court issue an injunction restraining Defendants from “using or taking my 2015 Toyota Prius and my household goods and other personal property in the custody of Defendants since June 19, 2021.” Mot. 1-2. Ms. Gonye directs the Court to her Complaint for

1 her legal argument supporting this motion. *See id.*

2 Preliminary injunctive relief, whether in the form of a temporary restraining order or a  
3 preliminary injunction, is an “extraordinary and drastic remedy,” that is never awarded as of right.  
4 *Munaf v. Geren*, 553 U.S. 674, 689-690 (2008) (internal citations omitted). An injunction is a  
5 matter of equitable discretion and “may only be awarded upon a clear showing that the plaintiff is  
6 entitled to such relief.” *Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7, 22 (2008).  
7 A plaintiff seeking preliminary injunctive relief must establish “[1] that he is likely to succeed on  
8 the merits, [2] that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, [3]  
9 that the balance of equities tips in his favor, and [4] that an injunction is in the public interest.” *Id.*  
10 at 20. “[I]f a plaintiff can only show that there are serious questions going to the merits – a lesser  
11 showing than likelihood of success on the merits – then a preliminary injunction may still issue if  
12 the balance of hardships tips sharply in the plaintiff’s favor, and the other two Winter factors are  
13 satisfied.” *Friends of the Wild Swan v. Weber*, 767 F.3d 936, 942 (9th Cir. 2014) (internal  
14 quotation marks and citations omitted).

15 While the Court agrees with Ms. Gonye that she has shown irreparable harm because she  
16 states that she was living in her car before it was impounded and thus was deprived of her home and  
17 belongings, Compl. 16, the Court finds that Ms. Gonye has not demonstrated that she is likely to  
18 succeed on the merits or that there are serious questions going to the merits of this case. She states  
19 that she is

20  
21 prepared to pay the monies to the Department of Motor Vehicles, per the exact accounting  
22 set forth in the California Vehicle Code as of the date of this pleading, calculated to the legal  
23 minimum payment required by the California Vehicle Code to obtain registration renewal for  
24 her car for 2021-2022, and should have the earliest possible restitution of her car to her  
custody, as Plaintiff’s car is now used by Plaintiff as a sleeping area, an office, and a storage  
facility for some of her property, until she finds a traditional and affordable residence as a  
home.

25  
26 Compl. 17. However, this does not articulate a legal basis for the Court to order Defendant NASA  
27 to return Ms. Gonye’s car. Ms. Gonye also claims that Defendants’ possession of her car violates  
28 her Fourth, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights, Compl. 2, but she has not articulated a basis

1 for the return of her car under any of those amendments. Accordingly, the Court DENIES Ms.  
2 Gonye's motion for a temporary restraining order WITHOUT PREJUDICE to Ms. Gonye moving  
3 for a preliminary injunction.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5  
6 Dated: July 9, 2021  
7  
8



---

BETH LABSON FREEMAN  
United States District Judge

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28